



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,347	04/27/2001	Jun Zeng	SE1645PD (50042)	2463	
75	03/26/2002				
	ER F. REGAN, ESQU	EXAMINER			
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST, P.A. P.O. Box 3791			SOWARD, IDA M		
Orlando, FL 3	2802-3791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
•		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
0	tting Antion Commons	09/844	347	ZENG, JUN					
O1	ffice Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Ida M S		2822					
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communi ly	cation appears on t	he cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
THE MAILII - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period for the period for Failure to reply received.	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FONG DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY It ime may be available under the provisions of the common reply specified above is less than thirty (30 or reply is specified above, the maximum stay within the set or extended period for reply served by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. or days, a reply within the stutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a r tatutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become AE	eply be timely filed ly (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Res	consive to communication(s) file	ed on 20 February	<u> 2002</u> .						
<i>'</i>	•	2b) This action							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of	Claims	•	-						
4)⊠ Claim	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Oi	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∏ Claim	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-31</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Pa	•								
,	pecification is objected to by the		_						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)		aoouio piionty		33 120 and 01 121.					
1) Notice of Ref 2) Notice of Dra	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	ГО-948) per No(s) <u>4</u> .		Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noi Informal Patent Application (PT					
					·				

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the election filed on 02-20-02.

Claim Objections

Claim 24 is objected to because of the following informalities: "further further" should be changed to <u>further</u>. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b.

Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b teach a semiconductor layer 9 having a trench 14 therein; a gate dielectric layer 24 lining the trench; a gate conducting layer 12 in a lower potion of the trench; a dielectric layer 20 in an upper portion of the trench and extending outwardly from the semiconductor layer; source regions 26 adjacent the outwardly extending dielectric layer; source/body contact regions 18 laterally spaced from the gate conducting layer; and a source electrode 22 on the source regions and on the dielectric layer.

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Gilbert et al. (5,349,224).

Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b teach all mentioned in the rejection above. Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b further teach a source electrode 22 on the source regions 26, on the dielectric layer 20, and on the source/body contact regions 18. However, Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b fail to teach at least one conductive via between the source electrode and the source/body contact region and an opening in the source regions exposing the source/body contact regions. Gilbert et al. teach at least one conductive via between the source electrode 90 and the source/body contact region 64 wherein an opening in the source regions exposes the source/body contact regions (Figure 5F). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b with the conductive via and opening of Gilbert et al. to be readily integrable in a semiconductor integrated circuit.

Art Unit: 2822

Claims 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Grabowski et al. (6,140,678).

Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b teach all mentioned in the rejections above.

However, Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b fail a recess over the source/body contact regions wherein the source/body contact regions are recessed within the semiconductor layer adjacent the source regions. Grabowski et al. teach a recess over the source/body contact regions 33 wherein the source/body contact regions are recessed within the semiconductor layer 14 adjacent the source regions 34 (Figure 4A).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b with the recessed areas of Grabowski et al. to reduce hot carrier injection.

Claim 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Shih et al. (5,283,452).

Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b teach all mentioned in the rejections above.

However, Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b fail to teach a gate recess depth within a range of 0.2 to 0.8 microns. Shih et al. teach a gate recess depth of 0.25 microns (col. 5, lines 67-68). In regard to claim 31, since Shih et al. teach an optimal gate recess depth of 0.25 microns, it is within the art of ordinary skill to provide an upper surface of the recess of less that 1 micron. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of

Application Control Hambe

Art Unit: 2822

Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b with the gate recess depth of Shih et al. to achieve high power operation.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Singh et al. (5,960,311).

Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b teach all mentioned in the rejections above.

However, Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b fail to teach a dielectric layer extending from a region equal to or less than about 1 micron. Singh et al. teach a dielectric layer extending from a region from 0.5 to 1.2 microns (col. 5, lines 21-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Prior Art Figures 1 and 3a-3b with the dielectric layer extending from a region of Singh et al. to reduce the geometries of integrated circuits.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's election with traverse of a MOSFET in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because searching in a different classification would be serious burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 2822

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respects to gated trenches:

Choi et al. (US 6,188,104 B1)

Mo (US 2001/0028084 A1)

Page 6

Nishimura (5,721,148)

Spikes et al. (5,981,354)

Tsang et al. (5,801,417).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 703-305-3308. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 703-308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ims

March 20, 2002

CARL WHITEHEAD, JR.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

and Shitchead /